

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI  
EASTERN DIVISION

TYLER DARNELL JOHNSON,	)	
	)	
Petitioner,	)	
	)	
v.	)	No. 4:10-CV-1365-CDP
	)	
CHRIS KOSTER,	)	
	)	
Respondent.	)	

**MEMORANDUM AND ORDER**

This matter is before the Court upon petitioner's application for leave to commence this action without payment of the required filing fee. Upon consideration of the financial information provided with the application, the Court finds that petitioner is financially unable to pay any portion of the filing fee.

Petitioner seeks a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Having reviewed the amended petition [Doc. #4], the Court will order petitioner to show cause as to why the Court should not dismiss this action for failure to exhaust available state remedies.

**The Amended Petition**

Petitioner, an inmate at the Jefferson County Jail, seeks

relief pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner is challenging an ongoing state criminal proceeding, and he requests the “[d]ismissal of the current charges and malicious prosecution due.” Petitioner alleges that he is receiving ineffective assistance of counsel and that numerous constitutional violations have occurred, including his illegal arrest, the illegal suppression of evidence, grand jury violations, and the knowing use of perjury.

### **Discussion**

In the absence of exceptional circumstances, a state prisoner must exhaust currently available and adequate state remedies before invoking federal habeas corpus jurisdiction. Braden v. 30th Judicial Circuit Court of Kentucky, 410 U.S. 484 (1973). State remedies are ordinarily not considered exhausted if an individual may effectively present his claim to the state courts by any currently available and adequate procedure.

Not only will petitioner have the opportunity to raise constitutional challenges in the context of his state criminal proceedings, and if necessary, subsequent appeal, but the State of Missouri provides habeas corpus relief for prisoners in its custody. See Rev. Mo. Stat. § 532.010 (1994), Missouri Supreme Court Rule 91.01. As such, petitioner has available state procedures that he must exhaust before invoking federal habeas

jurisdiction.

In accordance with the foregoing,

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that petitioner's motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis [Doc. #3] is **GRANTED**.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that no order to show cause shall issue at this time as to respondent, because it appears that petitioner did not exhaust available state remedies before invoking federal habeas corpus jurisdiction.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that petitioner shall show cause within thirty (30) days of the date of this Order as to why the Court should not dismiss the instant action for failure to exhaust available state remedies. Petitioner's failure to file a show cause response shall result in the denial of the instant habeas corpus petition and the dismissal of this action, without prejudice.

Dated this 27th day of September, 2010.

  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE